

## Alloimmunization References:

Moise K, Argoti P. Management and prevention of red cell alloimmunization in pregnancy. A systematic review. Obstet Gynecol 2012; 120: 1132-39. Quantitative PCR can be used to more accurately determine the paternal RHD zyogosity. For unknown or a heterozygous paternal genotype, cell-free fetal DNA in maternal plasma can be used to diagnose fetal RHD type. This is only available for RHD at this time. MCA Doppler is the standard for detection of fetal anemia and can be used to determine the timing of the first (MCV PSV cut off 1.5 MoM) and second (using MCA PSV of 1.35 MoM) for the second transfusion. MCA not useful after the second transfusion.

Moise K. Management of Rhesus Alloimmunization in Pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 2008;112: 164-176. In pregnancies with fetus at risk for HDN(homozygous paternal genotype/phenotype, antigen positive fetus by free fetal DNA or amniocentesis with antibody titer > 1:32 or 1:8 with Kell and no prior affected pregnancy), recommend start MCA Doppler PSV q 1-2 weeks at 24 weeks EGA. If antibody titers remain < critical titers, deliver at term. If there is a prior affected pregnancy, antibody titers are not used to determine fetal risk and recommend start MCV Doppler PSV q 1-2 weeks at 18 weeks EGA. In presence of mormal Dopplers through pregnancy, recommend weekly fetal testing with NST or BPP at 32 weeks and delivery at 38 weeks EGA. Elevated MCA PSV prior to 35 weeks EGA is an indication for fetal PUBS, after 35 weeks determine delivery planning based on FLM and ON450 at amniocentesis. Following intrauterine transfusion, recommend last transfusion at 35 weeks with delivery 2 weeks later.

Opekes D, et al. Doppler ultrasonography versus amniocentesis to predict fetal anemia. N Engl J Med 2006; 355: 156-64. Doppler measurement of the peak systolic velocity in the middle cerebellar artery can replace invasive testing in the management of Rh-alloimmunized pregnancies.

Test	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	Accuracy %
MCV PSV > 1.5 MoM	88 ( 78.4-93.5)	82 (73.3-88.9)	85 (78.6-89.5)
AF ΔOD450 (Liley)	76 (64.8-84.0)	77 (67.3-84.0)	76 (69.3-82.2)

Mari G. Noninvasive diagnosis by Doppler ultrasonography of fetal anemia due to maternal red-cell alloimmunization. N Engl J Med 2000; 342:9-14 Obstet. 1998; 63:195-202. MCA PSV > 1.5 MoM for severe anemia (defined and  $Hgb < 0.55 \times mean$ ) demonstrated sensitivity of 100%, false positive rate of 12%, PPV = 65%, NPV = 100%.

**Prelove SJ, et al. Noninvasive methods of detecting fetal anemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BJOG 2009; 116:1558-1567**. Syst ematic review of 27 studies. Using the 1.5MoM cutoff for MCA PSV, the test parameters for fetal anemia, defined as hgb < 0.55MoM, for MCA PSV are: LR+ 4.3 (2.5-7.41); LR- 0.3 (0.13-0.69); sensitivity 75.5% (65.8-83.6) and specificity 90.8 (88.2-93.0).

Trevett TN, et al. Antenatal maternal administration of phenobarbital for the prevention of exchange transfusion in neonates with hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn. AJOG 2005;192, 478-82. Following serial IUT, maternal phenobarbital 30 mg tid started at 35 weeks for 10 days was associated with a 67% reduction in the need for exchange transfusion (adjust RR: 0.23 95% CI 0.06-0.76).

Scheier M, et al. Prediction of severe fetal anemia in red blood cell alloimmunization after previous intrauterine transfusion. AJOG 2006; 195, 1550-6. Using the definition of anemia as Hgb deficit of 6g/dl from gestational age mean, and MCV PSV > 1.5 MoM, to detect 95% of the cases of severe anemia, the false positive rate of severe anemia is 14%,



37%, and 90% prior to the first, second, and third transfusions respectively. MCA PSV is less useful after one transfusion and not useful after 2 prior transfusions.

Management of alloimmunization during pregnancy. ACOG practice bulletin No. 75. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2006; 108:457-64.

Table 1. Atypical Antibodies and Their Relationship to Fetal Hemolytic Disease

Blood Group System	Antigens Related to Hemolytic Dissass	Homolytic Disease Severity	Proposed Management
lowis	•		
	A		
Call		Mild to severe*	Fetal assessment
201		Mid to severe	Routine obstatic care
	in in	Mid	Routine obstebit; care
	9-9-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3	Mid	Routine obstable care
	Ψ	Mild	Routine obstebic care
		Mid	Routine obstablic care
	<b>3</b> °	MIC	Routine obstatific care
th (non-D)	E	Mild to severe!	Fotal assessment
	C	Mild to severe*	Fetal assessment
	C	Mild to severe*	February systems (
Duffy	Dall Control	Mild to severe!	Fetal assessment
Contract of the contract of th	¥.	±	Routine obstetric care
	By	MIN	Pourtine obstater care
Ddf (		Mild to severe	Fotal assessment
		Mild	Routine obstable care Routine obstable care
	Jac.	MIN	ROUTING ODSESTIC CAPE
MNS:	M	Mild to severe	Fotal assessment
	N	Mid	Routine obstebic care
	5	Mild to severe	Fotal assessment
	5	Mild to severe	Fotal assessment
	U	Mild to severe	Fetal assessment
	MP	Moderate	Fetal assessment
45%s	MP	Moderate	Fetal assessment
	Www.	Mild	Routine obsletife care
	Mur	Mild	Routine obsteble care
	HI	Mild	Routine obstetric care
	Hut	Mid	Routine obstate: care
		Mild	
Lutheran	Log		Routine obstatric care
	LuP	Mid	Routine obsteble care
Diago	DT <sup>a</sup>	Mild to severe	Fetal assessment
_	DP	Mild to severe	Fotal assessment
(q	Xg <sup>a</sup>	Mid	Routine obstate: care
?	PP ← (∏P)	Mild to savere	Fetal assessment
	-	Moderate to wave	Fotal assessment
Public antigens	Alia Alia	Mild	Routine obstatic care
	Lan	Mid	Routine obstatic care
	Emil	Mad Moderate	Fotal assessment
	Ge	Mild	Routine obstebic care
		Mild	Routine obsetric care
	Co <sup>a</sup>	Severe	Fotal assessment
	Core	Mid	Brutine obsietér care
Hvate antigens	Batty	Mid	Routine obstetric care
100	Booker	MIG	Routine obstetric care
	Borrons.	Mid	Routine obstatut care

Revised February 2014

## **Notification to Users**

These algorithms are designed to assist the primary care provider in the clinical management of a variety of problems that occur during pregnancy. They should not be interpreted as a standard of care, but instead represent guidelines for management. Variation in practices should take into account such factors as characteristics of the individual patient, health resources, and regional experience with diagnostic and therapeutic modalities.

The algorithms remain the intellectual property of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine. They cannot be reproduced in whole or in part without the expressed written permission of the school. <a href="https://www.mombaby.org">www.mombaby.org</a>